

CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

- Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.
- Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the appropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:		5. DATE OF REQUEST:	NEED RESPONSE BY:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy/Regulation Interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> QC <input type="checkbox"/> Fair Hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Other:		June 3, 2013	June 18, 2013
2. REQUESTOR NAME:		6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION:	
3. PHONE NO.:		Yolo County	
4. REGULATION CITE(S): MPP 63-801; 63-301.5		7. SUBJECT: Expedited Service Overissuance	
9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):		8. REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).	

Can Expedited CalFresh benefits be charged as an overissuance?

Example:

Applicant estimates his income to be under the gross income limit and cannot provide verification within the Expedited CalFresh timeline. Expedited CalFresh benefits are issued on this statement and verification postponed. When the client provides the verification he has mistakenly given the wrong income and his income really is over the gross income limit. Are the Expedited CF they received an overissuance or is the case just discontinued?

10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:
Our county has only been processing overissuances for Expedited benefits when the applicant clearly did not disclose or withheld necessary information. For example, if the applicant is a drug felon and did not disclose this. I cannot find anything that either supports or refutes this.

11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):
The case is discontinued based on excess income. The County should not establish an overissuance claim against the household for mistakenly providing an estimate of their gross income. This is because the household did not "willfully" withhold information to defraud the county and complied with all requirements for postponed verification.

FOR CDSS USE

DATE RECEIVED:	DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:
	July 2013 (RN)